DONOVAN'S CONJECTURE, CROSSED PRODUCTS AND ALGEBRAIC GROUP ACTIONS

BY

Burkhard Külshammer

Institut für Mathematik, Universität Augsburg 86135 Augsburg, Germany e-mail: kuelshammer@uni-augsburg.de

ABSTRACT

Donovan's conjecture, on blocks of finite group algebras over an algebraically closed field of prime characteristic p, asserts that for any finite p-group D, there are only finitely many Morita equivalence classes of blocks with defect group D. The main result of this paper is a reduction theorem: It suffices to prove the conjecture for groups generated by conjugates of D. A number of other finiteness results are proved along the way. The main tool is a result on actions of algebraic groups.

1. Introduction

One of the major unsolved problems in modular representation theory of finite groups is the following one.

DONOVAN'S CONJECTURE: For any prime p and any finite p-group D, there are only finitely many Morita equivalence classes of p-blocks of finite groups with defect groups isomorphic to D.

The conjecture is known to be true when D is cyclic [2, 6] and (up to minor ambiguities) when p = 2 and D is dihedral, semi-dihedral or quaternion [5]. It is also known to be true when one restricts attention to p-blocks of p-solvable groups only [8]. The case of blocks of symmetric groups is dealt with in [13].

In this paper we reduce the general case of Donovan's conjecture to the special case of blocks with defect group D, in finite groups generated by conjugates of

Received January 23, 1994

B. KÜLSHAMMER

D. A number of other results (which we consider to be interesting in their own right) are proved along the way. A brief outline is as follows.

We use Dade's theory of block extensions [4] to write every p-block with defect group D (up to Morita equivalence) as a crossed product Y of a finite group X(whose order is not divisible by p and bounded in terms of D) with an algebra R which is the basic subalgebra of a p-block with defect group D, in a finite group generated by conjugates of D. We then prove a finiteness theorem for such crossed products. This finiteness theorem is in turn based on two other finiteness results. One of these shows that, for any finite group G and any commutative G-algebra C over an algebraically closed field F whose characteristic p does not divide the order of G, the cohomology group $H^i(G, U(C))$ is finite for $i \ge 1$. The other states that, for any finite group G whose order is not divisible by p and any linear algebraic group H over F, there are only finitely many homomorphisms $G \to H$, up to conjugation within H. These results together give the proof of our reduction theorem.

For the rest of this paper, we denote by F an algebraically closed field of characteristic p (where we allow the case p = 0 for a while).

2. Representations of finite groups in algebraic groups

In this section we deal with homomorphisms from a finite group G into a linear algebraic group H over F. We refer to these homomorphisms as **representations** of G in H. Examples are the **linear** representations $(H = \operatorname{GL}(n, F)$ for some n), **projective** representations $(H = \operatorname{PGL}(n, F)$ for some n), **orthogonal** representations (H = O(n, F) for some n), and **symplectic** representations $(H = \operatorname{Sp}(2n, F)$ for some n). Further examples are provided by G-algebras $(H = \operatorname{Aut}(A)$, the automorphism group of a finite-dimensional algebra A over F) and by **interior** G-algebras (H = U(A), the group of units of a finite-dimensional algebra A over F). Later in this paper we will consider the example where $H = \operatorname{Out}(A) = \operatorname{Aut}(A)/\operatorname{Inn}(A)$, the outer automorphism group of a finite-dimensional algebra A over F.

Two representations ρ, σ of a finite group G in a linear algebraic group H over F are called **equivalent** if there is an element $h \in H$ such that $\sigma(g) = h\rho(g)h^{-1}$ for $g \in G$. We have the following finiteness result.

THEOREM: Let H be a linear algebraic group over an algebraically closed field F of characteristic p, and let G be a finite group whose order is not divisible

by p (e.g. p = 0). Then there are only finitely many equivalence classes of representations of G in H.

The case where $H = \operatorname{GL}(n, F)$ is an immediate consequence of Maschke's theorem. The general case was conjectured in an unpublished preprint by the author [11]. In that preprint it was also shown that in a "minimal" counterexample to the theorem G would be nonabelian simple and H would be simple of one of the exceptional types E_6 , E_7 , E_8 or F_4 . In particular, the result was proved for solvable G and hence, by the Odd Order Theorem, for the case when p = 2.

As a response to [11], the author received proofs of the theorem above by T.A. Springer and S. Donkin. Moreover, A. Borel pointed out that the result follows without difficulty from a paper by A. Weil [15]. These proofs make use of the fact that the set of all representations of G in H can be considered as an affine algebraic variety over F on which the linear algebraic group H acts morphically.

We would like to take the opportunity to ask (as in [11]) whether the theorem above can be extended in the following way.

QUESTION: Let F be an algebraically closed field of prime characteristic p, let H be a linear algebraic group over F, let G be a finite group, let P be a Sylow p-subgroup of G, and let ρ be a representation of P in H. Then, are there only finitely many equivalence classes of representations of G in H which contain a representation extending ρ ?

This is true for the special case $H = \operatorname{GL}(n, F)$ since every *FG*-module *M* is isomorphic to a direct summand of $\operatorname{Ind}_{P}^{G}(\operatorname{Res}_{P}^{G}(M))$. More generally, it is true for any reductive group *H* in good characteristic, as was recently proved by *P*. Slodowy [14]. His paper also discusses extensions of Weil's method for the proof of the theorem above.

3. G-algebras

Let G be a finite group. A G-algebra over F is a finite-dimensional (associative and unitary) algebra A over F, together with a fixed homomorphism $G \to \operatorname{Aut}(A)$. We denote by J(A) the radical, by Z(A) the center and by U(A)the group of units of A. Then G acts on U(A) and we can form, in case A is commutative, the cohomology groups $\operatorname{H}^{i}(G, U(A))$. We have the following finiteness result. **PROPOSITION:** Let G be a finite group, and let A be a commutative G-algebra over an algebraically closed field F whose characteristic does not divide the order of G. Then, for $i \ge 1$, the cohomology group $H^i(G, U(A))$ is finite.

Proof: There is an isomorphism of G-modules $U(A) \cong U(A/J(A)) \times (1 + J(A))$ so that

$$\mathrm{H}^{i}(G, \mathrm{U}(A)) \cong \mathrm{H}^{i}(G, \mathrm{U}(A/\mathrm{J}(A))) \times \mathrm{H}^{i}(G, 1 + \mathrm{J}(A)).$$

Since the map $1 + J(A) \rightarrow 1 + J(A)$, $x \mapsto x^{|G|}$, is bijective, we have $H^i(G, 1 + J(A)) = 0$. Thus we can replace A by A/J(A) and therefore assume that A is semisimple. If $A \cong A_1 \times A_2$ with G-algebras A_1, A_2 over F then

$$\mathrm{H}^{i}(G, \mathrm{U}(A)) \cong \mathrm{H}^{i}(G, \mathrm{U}(A_{1})) \times \mathrm{H}^{i}(G, \mathrm{U}(A_{2})).$$

Hence we may assume that A is a direct product of copies of F transitively permuted under the action of G. Now Shapiro's Lemma implies that $H^i(G, U(A)) \cong$ $H^i(H, U(F))$ for a subgroup H of G. We have an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mu(F) \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}(F) \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}(F) \longrightarrow 1$$

where $\mu(F)$ denotes the group of all roots of unity in F and $U(F)/\mu(F)$ is a uniquely divisible group. Hence the long exact sequence in cohomology shows that $H^i(H, U(F)) \cong H^i(H, \mu(F))$, and the latter group is certainly finite.

4. Crossed products

Let G be a finite group. A G-graded ring is a ring A, together with a fixed decomposition $A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} A_g$ into additive subgroups A_g satisfying $A_g A_h \subseteq A_{gh}$ for $g, h \in G$. In this case, A_1 is a subring of A containing 1_A . We are interested in classifying all G-graded rings where the identity component A_1 is a given ring R.

There are at least two sensible ways to define isomorphisms between such Ggraded rings. We call two G-graded rings $A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} A_g$ and $B = \bigoplus_{g \in G} B_g$ with $A_1 = R = B_1$ weakly equivalent if there is an isomorphism of rings $\phi: A \to B$ satisfying $\phi(A_g) = B_g$ for $g \in G$. Moreover, we call A and B equivalent if ϕ can be chosen to satisfy, in addition, $\phi|A_1 = id_R$. In the literature (see [7, 12]), usually equivalence classes of G-graded rings are considered. For our purpose, however, weak equivalence classes are more important. Vol. 92, 1995

We start by recollecting some of the known facts, at least for the special class of G-graded rings called crossed products. A G-graded ring $A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} A_g$ is called a **crossed product** if $A_g \cap U(A) \neq \emptyset$ for $g \in G$. In this case, $A_g A_h = A_{gh}$ for $g, h \in G$. From now on, we fix a finite group G and a ring R and consider the class of crossed products $A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} A_g$ of G with R, i.e. with $A_1 = R$.

A parameter set of G in R is a pair (α, γ) of maps

$$\alpha: G \longrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(R), \quad g \longmapsto \alpha_g,$$

 and

$$\gamma : G imes G \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}(R), \quad (g,h) \longmapsto \gamma(g,h),$$

satisfying

$$\alpha_g \circ \alpha_h = \iota_{\gamma(g,h)} \circ \alpha_{gh}$$
 and $\gamma(g,h)\gamma(gh,k) = \alpha_g(\gamma(h,k))\gamma(g,hk)$

for $g, h, k \in G$; here ι_r denotes the inner automorphism $R \to R, x \mapsto rxr^{-1}$, of R, for $r \in U(R)$. Two parameter sets (α, γ) and (α', γ') of G in R are called **equivalent** if there are elements $r(g) \in U(R)$ such that

 $\alpha'_{g} = \iota_{r(g)} \circ \alpha_{g}$ and $\gamma'(g,h) = r(g)\alpha_{g}(r(h))\gamma(g,h)r(gh)^{-1}$

for $g, h \in G$. This does indeed define an equivalence relation on the set of all parameter sets of G in R.

Every crossed product $A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} A_g$ of G with R defines an equivalence class of parameter sets of G in R in the following way. We choose $u_g \in A_g \cap U(A)$ for $g \in G$. Then the map $\alpha_g \colon R \to R$, $r \mapsto u_g r u_g^{-1}$, is an automorphism of R, and $\gamma(g,h) \coloneqq u_g u_h u_{gh}^{-1} \in U(R)$ for $g,h \in G$. Moreover, (α, γ) is a parameter set of G in R. We say that (α, γ) is a parameter set **defined by** A. Note that (α, γ) is not unique since it depends on the choice of u_g for $g \in G$. However, all parameter sets of G in R defined by A form a unique equivalence class.

Also, equivalent crossed products of G with R determine equivalent parameter sets of G in R. Conversely, every parameter set of G in R arises from a crossed product of G with R, unique up to equivalence. Thus:

LEMMA 1: Equivalence classes of crossed products of G with R are in bijection with equivalence classes of parameter sets of G in R.

Every parameter set (α, γ) of G in R induces a homomorphism

$$\omega: G \longrightarrow \operatorname{Out}(R) = \operatorname{Aut}(R) / \operatorname{Inn}(R), \quad g \longmapsto \alpha_g \operatorname{Inn}(R).$$

B. KÜLSHAMMER

Moreover, ω depends only on the equivalence class of (α, γ) . Thus the set of all equivalence classes of parameter sets of G in R splits into disjoint subsets, according to the induced homomorphism $G \to \operatorname{Out}(R)$.

Conversely, however, not every homomorphism $G \to \operatorname{Out}(R)$ is induced by a parameter set of G in R. A necessary and sufficient condition for this can be obtained in the following way. Let $\omega: G \to \operatorname{Out}(R)$ be a homomorphism, and write $\omega(g) = \alpha_g \operatorname{Inn}(R)$ with $\alpha_g \in \operatorname{Aut}(R)$ for $g \in G$. Then the map $G \to$ $\operatorname{Aut}(Z(R)), g \mapsto \alpha_g | Z(R)$, is a homomorphism and independent of the choice of α_g for $g \in G$. We consider $\operatorname{U}(Z(R))$ as a G-module via this homomorphism. We can write $\alpha_g \circ \alpha_h = \iota_{r(g,h)} \circ \alpha_{gh}$ with $r(g,h) \in \operatorname{U}(R)$ for $g, h \in G$. Then the map

$$G \times G \times G \longrightarrow \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{Z}(R)), \quad (g,h,k) \longmapsto r(g,h)^{-1} \alpha_g(r(h,k)) r(g,hk) r(gh,k)^{-1},$$

is a 3-cocycle, and the corresponding cohomology class in $H^3(G, U(\mathbb{Z}(R)))$ is independent of the choice of α_g and r(g, h) for $g, h \in G$.

LEMMA 2: The map ω arises from a parameter set of G in R if and only if the corresponding element in $H^3(G, U(Z(R)))$ vanishes.

Now let $\omega: G \to \operatorname{Out}(R)$ be a homomorphism which is induced by a parameter set, and consider $\operatorname{U}(\operatorname{Z}(R))$ as a *G*-module via ω . Then the group $\operatorname{Z}^2(G, \operatorname{U}(\operatorname{Z}(R)))$ of 2-cocycles acts on the set of all parameter sets of *G* in *R* inducing ω in the following way. For $\zeta \in \operatorname{Z}^2(G, \operatorname{U}(\operatorname{Z}(R)))$ and a parameter set (α, γ) of *G* in *R* inducing ω , the parameter set $\zeta(\alpha, \gamma) = (\alpha', \gamma')$ is defined by

$$\alpha' := \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma'(g,h) := \zeta(g,h)\gamma(g,h)$$

for $g, h \in G$. It is easily checked that (α, γ) and $\zeta(\alpha, \gamma)$ are equivalent whenever ζ is a 2-coboundary. Thus $H^2(G, U(Z(R)))$ acts on the set of equivalence classes of parameter sets of G in R inducing ω , and in fact $H^2(G, U(Z(R)))$ acts regularly on this set. This shows:

PROPOSITION 1: The equivalence classes of parameter sets of G in R inducing ω are in bijection (usually not canonical) with $H^2(G, U(Z(R)))$.

This means that equivalence classes of parameter sets of G in R can be parametrized by certain pairs (ω, ζ) where $\omega: G \to \text{Out}(R)$ is a homomorphism, $\zeta \in H^2(G, U(\mathbb{Z}(R)))$, and the corresponding action of G on $U(\mathbb{Z}(R))$ is induced by ω . Vol. 92, 1995

Also, the group Aut(R) acts on the set of all parameter sets (α, γ) of G in R by $\rho(\alpha, \gamma) := (\rho \alpha, \rho \gamma)$ for $\rho \in Aut(R)$ where $\rho \alpha$ and $\rho \gamma$ are defined by

$$({}^{\rho}\alpha)_g := \rho \circ \alpha_g \circ \rho^{-1}$$
 and $({}^{\rho}\gamma)(g,h) := \rho(\gamma(g,h))$

for $g, h \in G$. Moreover, if (α, γ) and (α', γ') are equivalent parameter sets of G in R then so are $\rho(\alpha, \gamma)$ and $\rho(\alpha', \gamma')$. Thus $\operatorname{Aut}(R)$ acts on the set of equivalence classes of parameter sets of G in R.

It is easy to see that two crossed products $A = \bigoplus_{g \in G} A_g$ and $B = \bigoplus_{g \in G} B_g$ of G with R are weakly equivalent if and only if the corresponding equivalence classes of parameter sets of G in R are in the same orbit under Aut(R). Thus:

PROPOSITION 2: Weak equivalence classes of crossed products of G with R are in bijection with orbits of Aut(R) on the set of all equivalence classes of parameter sets of G in R.

If a parameter set (α, γ) of G in R induces the homomorphism $\omega: G \to \text{Out}(R)$ then, for $\rho \in \text{Aut}(R)$, the parameter set $\rho(\alpha, \gamma)$ induces the homomorphism

$${}^{\rho}\omega: G \longrightarrow \operatorname{Out}(R), \quad g \longmapsto \rho \operatorname{Inn}(R) \cdot \omega(g) \cdot \rho^{-1} \operatorname{Inn}(R).$$

Thus, in order to produce a set of representatives for the weak equivalence classes of crossed products of G in R, it suffices to pick one homomorphism $G \to \text{Out}(R)$ out of each conjugacy class under Out(R), and to compute $H^2(G, U(\mathbb{Z}(R)))$ for every such homomorphism.

We now use the main results of sections 2 and 3 to obtain the following finiteness theorem.

THEOREM: Let F be an algebraically closed field of characteristic p, let R be an algebra of finite dimension over F, and let G be a finite group whose order is not divisible by p. Then there are only finitely many weak equivalence classes of crossed products of G with R.

Proof: We consider $\operatorname{Out}(R) = \operatorname{Aut}(R)/\operatorname{Inn}(R)$ as a linear algebraic group over F. By the theorem in section 2, there are only finitely many equivalence classes of representations of G in $\operatorname{Out}(R)$. We pick such a representation $\alpha: G \to \operatorname{Out}(R)$. Restriction induces a well-defined map res: $\operatorname{Out}(R) =$ $\operatorname{Aut}(R)/\operatorname{Inn}(R) \to \operatorname{Aut}(Z(R))$, and we consider Z(R) as a G-algebra via the homomorphism reso $\alpha: G \to \operatorname{Aut}(Z(R))$. Now the proposition in section 3 implies that $H^2(G, U(\mathbb{Z}(R)))$ is finite. So the result follows from the discussion above.

5. Donovan's conjecture

In the following, we fix an algebraically closed field F of prime characteristic p and consider p-blocks of a finite group G as subalgebras of the group algebra FG. Our main result is the following one:

THEOREM: Let p be a prime, and let D be a finite p-group. Then every p-block with defect group D has, up to isomorphism, the form $S \otimes_F T$ where

- (i) S is a complete matrix algebra over F,
- (ii) $T = \bigoplus_{x \in X} T_x$ is a crossed product,
- (iii) X is a finite p'-group whose order divides $|Out(D)|^2$ where Out(D) denotes the outer automorphism group of D,
- (iv) the identity component T_1 of T is a p-block with defect group D, of a finite group H with $H = \langle D^h : h \in H \rangle$.

Proof: Let G be a finite group, and let A be a p-block of FG with defect group D. We wish to prove that A has the form as stated above.

For any normal subgroup N of G, G acts by conjugation on the set of all blocks of FN. It is well-known that the blocks A' of FN satisfying $AA' \neq 0$ (i.e. the blocks of FN **covered** by A) form a single conjugacy class under G (cf. Lemma B in [8]). Let A' be one of these, and denote by $G_{A'}$ the stabilizer of A' in G. Then it is again well-known that there is block A^* of $FG_{A'}$, with defect group conjugate to D, such that A is isomorphic to $Mat(|G:G_{A'}|, F) \otimes_F A^*$ (see Theorem C in [8]).

This means that it suffices to prove the result for the block A^* of $FG_{A'}$. Repeating this argument as often as possible we see that we may assume that A is **quasi-primitive**, i.e. that, for any normal subgroup N of G, A covers a unique block of FN. Thus let A be quasi-primitive in the following.

We denote by H the subgroup of G generated by all defect groups of A:

$$H := \langle D^g \colon g \in G \rangle.$$

Then H is a normal subgroup of G, and we denote by B the unique block of FH covered by A. It is well-known (cf. Proposition N in [9]) that in this situation

every defect group of A is also a defect group of B, so

$${D^{g}: g \in G} = {D^{h}: h \in H},$$

and $H = \langle D^h : h \in H \rangle$. Since B is G-stable, every element in G acts by conjugation on B. This induces a homomorphism $G \to \operatorname{Aut}(B)$. We denote by K the kernel of the composite homomorphism $G \to \operatorname{Aut}(B) \to \operatorname{Out}(B) = \operatorname{Aut}(B)/\operatorname{Inn}(B)$. Thus K is the normal subgroup of G consisting of all elements in G acting on B by an inner automorphism of B; in particular, $H \subseteq K$. We denote by C the unique block of FK covered by A. Again, C has defect group D.

It is a consequence of (3.5) in [4] that $1_A \in FK$ (see also Corollary 4 in [10]). It follows easily that $1_A = 1_C$; in particular, A is the unique block of FG covering C. It is well-known that this implies that X := G/K is a p'-group (see Theorem 61.5 in [1]). We consider FG as a crossed product of X with FK, as usual. Then $A = 1_C FG$ becomes a crossed product of X with $1_C FK = C$.

Since B and C have a common defect group, Theorem 7 in [10] and its proof imply that there is a simple subalgebra S of $C^H := \{c \in C: hch^{-1} = c \text{ for } h \in H\}$ such that C^H is isomorphic to $S \otimes_F Z(B)$ and such that C is isomorphic to $S \otimes_F B$ where in both cases the isomorphism is simply given by multiplication. It follows that A is isomorphic to $S \otimes_F C_A(S)$, again by multiplication, where $T := C_A(S)$, the centralizer of S in A, is a crossed product of X with $C_C(S)$, and where $C_C(S)$ is isomorphic to B.

Thus we have proved all parts of the theorem with the exception of the assertion $|X|||Out(D)|^2$. For this last part of the proof we use another of the main results of [4]. (Since [4] is a long and technical paper, it may be helpful to the reader to look also at [3] which contains a summary of the main results in [4], including those we need here.)

We denote by b a block of $FDC_H(D)$ in Brauer correspondence with B. Then b contains a unique irreducible Brauer character ϕ , and we denote by $N_G(D)_{\phi}$ the stabilizer of ϕ under the action (by conjugation) of $N_G(D)$ on Brauer characters of $FDC_H(D)$. Then, by (12.6) in [4], we have

$$G = \mathcal{N}_G(D)_{\phi} H$$
 and $K = \mathcal{C}_G(D)_{\omega} H;$

here $\omega: N_H(D)_{\phi}/DC_H(D) \times C_G(D)_{\phi}/C_H(D) \to U(F)$ is a certain bilinear map, and $C_G(D)_{\omega}$ denotes the group of all elements $x \in C_G(D)_{\phi}$ satisfying

B. KÜLSHAMMER

 $\omega(y, xC_H(D)) = 1$ for all $y \in N_H(D)_{\phi}/DC_H(D)$. Thus $C_G(D)_{\phi}/C_G(D)_{\omega}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\operatorname{Hom}(N_H(D)_{\phi}/DC_H(D), U(F))$. Since $N_H(D)_{\phi}/DC_H(D)$ is naturally isomorphic to a subgroup of $\operatorname{Out}(D)$ we obtain

$$|C_G(D)_{\phi}/C_G(D)_{\omega}|||\operatorname{Out}(D)|.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} |X| &= |G/K| = |\mathcal{N}_G(D)_{\phi} H/\mathcal{C}_G(D)_{\omega} H| \\ &= |\mathcal{N}_G(D)_{\phi} H/\mathcal{C}_G(D)_{\phi} H| \cdot |\mathcal{C}_G(D)_{\phi} H/\mathcal{C}_G(D)_{\omega} H| \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{N}_G(D)_{\phi} H/\mathrm{C}_G(D)_{\phi} H &\cong \mathrm{N}_G(D)_{\phi}/\mathrm{N}_G(D)_{\phi} \cap \mathrm{C}_G(D)_{\phi} H \\ &= \mathrm{N}_G(D)_{\phi}/\mathrm{C}_G(D)_{\phi} \mathrm{N}_H(D)_{\phi} \end{split}$$

is isomorphic to a factor group of $N_G(D)_{\phi}/DC_G(D)_{\phi}$ which, in turn, is isomorphic to a subgroup of Out(D), and where

$$C_G(D)_{\phi} H/C_G(D)_{\omega} H \cong C_G(D)_{\phi}/C_G(D)_{\phi} \cap C_G(D)_{\omega} H$$
$$= C_G(D)_{\phi}/C_G(D)_{\omega} C_H(D)$$
$$= C_G(D)_{\phi}/C_G(D)_{\omega}.$$

Thus $|X| || \operatorname{Out}(D)|^2$ as we wished to prove.

With notation as above, $S \otimes_F T$ is Morita equivalent to T. The basic subalgebra of T_1 has, of course, the form eT_1e where e is an idempotent in T_1 , unique up to conjugation with units in T_1 . It follows easily that Y := eTe is a crossed product of X with eT_1e . Moreover, eTe is Morita equivalent to T since

$$TeT = TT_1eT_1T = TT_1T = T.$$

Hence we obtain:

COROLLARY: Let p be a prime, and let D be a finite p-group. Then every p-block with defect group D is Morita equivalent to a crossed product $Y = \bigoplus_{x \in X} Y_x$ satisfying the following:

(i) X is a finite p'-group whose order divides $|Out(D)|^2$,

(ii) the identity component Y₁ of Y is a basic subalgebra of a block with defect group D, in a finite group H with H = ⟨D^h: h ∈ H⟩.

The important point is, of course, that, for a given D, there are only finitely many possibilities for X. Moreover, the main result in section 4 implies that, given a finite p'-group X and a finite-dimensional F-algebra Y_1 there are, up to isomorphism, only finitely many crossed products Y with identity component Y_1 . Thus it suffices to show that there are only finitely many possibilities for Y_1 . Since two F-algebras are Morita equivalent if and only if their basic subalgebras are isomorphic we conclude:

PROPOSITION: In order to prove Donovan's conjecture, it suffices to show the following:

For any prime p and any finite p-group D, there are only finitely many Morita equivalence classes of p-blocks with defect group D, in finite groups H with $H = \langle D^h: h \in H \rangle$.

If the question in section 2 could be answered positively, one could perhaps deduce stronger reductions for Donovan's conjecture.

Let b be a p-block with defect group D in a finite group H. If D is a Sylow psubgroup of H (e.g. if b is the principal p-block of H) then $\langle D^h: h \in H \rangle = O^{p'}(H)$. Hence, in the general case, the normal subgroup $\langle D^h: h \in H \rangle$ of H can be considered as an analogue, for p-blocks, of $O^{p'}(H)$. We therefore propose to denote this subgroup by $O^{b'}(H)$. The condition on H in the proposition then reads as $H = O^{b'}(H)$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: The author would like to thank the many people who have taken interest in the questions discussed here, in particular A. Borel, S. Donkin, P. Slodowy and T. A. Springer. He is also grateful to the referee for several valuable suggestions.

References

- C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, Methods of Representation Theory, Vol. II, J. Wiley & Sons, New York, 1987.
- [2] E. C. Dade, Blocks with cyclic defect groups, Annals of Mathematics 84 (1966), 20-84.

- [3] E. C. Dade, A Clifford theory for blocks, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics 21 (1971), 33-36.
- [4] E. C. Dade, Block extensions, Illinois Journal of Mathematics 17 (1973), 198-272.
- [5] K. Erdmann, Blocks of tame representation type and related algebras, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1428, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- [6] G. J. Janusz, Indecomposable modules for finite groups, Annals of Mathematics 89 (1969), 209-241.
- [7] G. Karpilovsky, The algebraic structure of crossed products, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987.
- [8] B. Külshammer, On p-blocks of p-solvable groups, Communications in Algebra 9 (1981), 1763-1785.
- [9] B. Külshammer, Crossed products and blocks with normal defect groups, Communications in Algebra 13 (1985), 147-168.
- [10] B. Külshammer, Morita equivalent blocks in Clifford theory of finite groups, Astérisque 181-182 (1990), 209-215.
- [11] B. Külshammer, Algebraic representations of finite groups, unpublished manuscript.
- [12] C. Nástăsescu and F. Van Oystaeyen, Graded ring theory, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.
- [13] J. Scopes, Cartan matrices and Morita equivalence for blocks of the symmetric groups, Journal of Algebra 142 (1991), 441-455.
- [14] P. Slodowy, Two notes on a finiteness problem in the representation theory of finite groups, preprint 1993.
- [15] A. Weil, Remarks on the cohomology of groups, Annals of Mathematics 80 (1964), 149-157.